

**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE**

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER

☐

DATE

April 21, 2021

MOTOR CARRIER MATTER

☐

DOCKET NO.

2021-83-E

UTILITIES MATTER

☒

ORDER NO.

2021-276

THIS DIRECTIVE SHALL SERVE AS THE COMMISSION'S ORDER ON THIS ISSUE.

Order Requesting Clarification Regarding Application

SUBJECT:

DOCKET NO. 2021-83-E - Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's Request for "Like Facility" Determinations Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann Section 58-33-110(1) (This Filing Does Not Involve any Change to the Retail Electric or Natural Gas Base Rates) - Staff Presents for Commission Consideration Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated's Request for "Like Facility" Determinations.

COMMISSION ACTION:

Dominion Energy South Carolina, Inc. filed a request for a like-facility determination for the replacement of portions of its existing Jasper-Yemassee 230 kV #1 Line (a/k/a "Jasper-Yemassee 230 kV #1) replacement with like facilities under South Carolina Section 58-33-110 (1) which is part of the siting act. The replacement of an existing facility with a like facility, as determined by the Commission, does not constitute construction of a major utility facility.

I know that the Office of Regulatory Staff (ORS) has filed a report with the Commission regarding this line. However, based on the application filed by Dominion and ORS' response, I would request that the Company provide some clarification to its applications.

(1) The Company states in the application that it plans to "fold" the Jasper-Yemassee kV #1 lines into the new Lakeside Substation. I think we need some clarification on that, so I would request that the Company provide some clarification on what is meant by "fold" the line into the substation. Also how did Dominion determine that the facilities proposed are considered to be a "like facility." I know that there were two alternatives, this being one and one other in the application, but are there other alternatives being considered or proposed by Dominion. I would request that Dominion provide information about all alternatives considered or reviewed by Dominion. There was discussion in the application about questions of voltage support data. And then there were questions about additional details on Dominion's temporary solution to address voltage concerns in the interim prior to construction completion.

I think these clarifications are somewhat simple. I would add that Dominion file these clarifications and answers to these questions with the Commission within seven (7) business days from the date of this Directive Order.

PRESIDING: J. WilliamsSESSION: RegularTIME: 11:00 a.m.

	MOTION	YES	NO	OTHER
BELSER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Present in Hearing Room
CASTON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Present in Hearing Room
ERVIN	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Voting via Webex
POWERS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Present in Hearing Room
THOMAS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Voting via Webex
C. WILLIAMS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Voting via Webex
J. WILLIAMS	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Present in Hearing Room

(SEAL)

RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding

